Case 2:10-cv-01950-GMN -LRL Document 24 Filed 01/24/11 Page 1 of 3

2 3 4

DISMISS Defendants Motion to Dismiss [dkt. 14]. Defendants filed a Reply in Support of their Motion to Dismiss on December 30, 2011 including their reply to the Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss. On January 11, 2011, Plaintiff filed his response to the Reply to support his Motion to Dismiss as allowed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 7 [dkt. 20].

Defendants cite LR 7-2 as the basis for their Motion to Strike. As the Opposition they

refer to includes a MOTION TO DISMISS the Defendants Motion, their claim is not valid and

violates the very rules they cite. It is apparent that Defendants did not read the Opposition and

Request for Dismissal filed with this court on January 11, 2011. The Opposition to Defendants

Reply is not a Supplemental Opposition as it is in response to the Motion brought forth in the

Opposition to which Defendants replied. Are they now admitting that they did not reply to the

Motion contained in this document? If so Defendants themselves are in violation of LR 7-2, the

local rules they cite. Their Reply contained the same headings and therefore Plaintiff replied as

response time as allowed for under Fed R Civ Proc Rule 6. Therefore Plaintiff's Opposition to

Defendants Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint filed on January 11, 2011 is proper and the

Defendants Reply of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and Request for Dismissal of

allowed under LR 7-2. The filings were timely given that a Holiday was involved in the

5

## The Court Should Deny Defendants Motion to Strike

8

7

9

11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## Conclusion

As evidenced Defendants have no standing with this court as a point of law and should not be allowed by the lack of standing to make Motions of any kind to the court. Therefore Plaintiff asks the Court to deny Defendants' Motion to Strike.

2425

26

Dated this 24 day of January, 2011.

Court should give full consideration to the same.

27

28

Cody B. West
Plaintiff
In Proper Person

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I, Cody B. West, hereby certifies that a copy of the Opposition to Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Reply In Support of Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint filed on the 24 day of January, 2011, in the above-entitled case was mailed by me on January 24, 2011 by depositing copies thereof in a sealed envelope, first-class postage prepaid, in the United States mail, to

Ariel E. Stern, Esq. Akerman Senterfitt LLP 400 South Fourth Street, Suite 450 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Christine M. Parvan, Esq. Akerman Senterfill LLP 400 South Fourth Street, Suite 450 Las Vegas, NV 89109

Bank of America, N.A. Brian Maynihan, President, CEO 100 N. Tyron St. Charlotte, NC 28263

Bank of America Home Loans Barbara J. Desoer, President 333 So. Hope Los Angeles, CA 90072-1406

BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP 400 National Way Simi Valley, CA 93065-6285

Recontrust Company 2380 Performance Drive Building C Mail Stop TX2-984-04-07 Richardson, TX 75082

MERS - Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems RK Arnold, President CEO 1818 Library Street, Suite 300 Reston, VA 20190

Dated: January 2 4, 2011

Cody B. West

Plaintiff

In Proper Person